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The UK is approaching a state of crisis with engineering skills. Employers cite skills shortage as a major threat to growth. Yet, the UK has too few young people taking subjects in schools that lead to professional engineering careers, and many of those young people who do pursue engineering subjects at university are not choosing to take up careers in engineering businesses. These two facts are causing a skills shortage in many of our leading engineering companies and an even greater shortage in the thousands of small and medium enterprises that are the supply chain for major organisations.

The UK higher education sector graduates approximately 21,000 engineers from first degrees each year across all domiciles. However, some five thousand of these are from non-EU countries and do not remain in the UK either because of strict visa rules or because they wish to return home. This is talent we can ill afford to lose at this present time. Only 14,000 UK-domiciled students graduate from engineering degree programmes across higher education institutions and as the report shows, only 10,500 of these students graduated from courses with significant engineering content.

This report undertaken by the University of Liverpool Engineering and Materials Education Research Group on behalf of the Royal Academy of Engineering highlights the graduate skills supply issues in engineering through detailed examination of routes into and out of higher education for future professional engineers.

The report demonstrates that a wide range of qualifications are taken prior to university and presents interesting information on destinations from pre-1992 and post-1992 universities. The report also provides an analysis on pathways taken by students through HE for each of the main disciplines within the engineering subject group.

We are indebted to Dr Tim Bullough and his team at the University of Liverpool for this detailed analysis of pathways into engineering higher education and career destinations of engineering graduates.

Professor Helen Atkinson CBE FREng
Chair, Standing Committee for Education and Training
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Executive summary

Engineering students and programmes

In 2012, 10,421 full-time UK-domiciled engineering students graduated from bachelor’s and integrated master’s degrees with >60% engineering content, from 90 different UK universities. 67.4% of these graduates were male and 32.6% female. There were additionally about half this number of international (non-UK domiciled) engineering graduates, as well as about 1,600 part-time engineering graduates, and over 2,000 UK-domiciled graduates from engineering programmes with less than 60% engineering content.

61.7% of the full-time UK-domiciled engineering students graduated from the 45 pre-92 institutions. Almost half of all engineering students who attended a pre-92 institution studied either mechanical engineering (26%) or civil engineering (23%), while in the post-92 sector the most popular programmes were related to electrical and electronic engineering (29%). Across the whole sector, most graduates were from mechanical engineering (26.2%), civil engineering (22.2%), and electronic and electrical engineering (20.8%) degree programmes.

Mechanical engineering attracted the lowest proportion of females (8%) whereas the programmes in chemical, process and energy engineering attracted the highest proportion of females (21%).

Entry qualifications

62% of all engineering graduates had mathematics at A-level, Scottish Higher or Advanced Scottish Higher level, and 54% had physics A-level (or Scottish equivalents). In the pre-92 sector, 86% enter with a mathematics A-level (or Scottish equivalents), and 75% have physics at this level. Students entering the post-92 sector hold a wider variety of qualifications with just 50% holding A-levels (and Scottish equivalents) and only half of these holding a recognised mathematics qualification.

Engineering students are more likely to have A and B grades in maths and physics A-levels and Scottish Higher qualifications than the national population, especially for female students. Female students’ entry qualifications in maths and physics are generally better than those of males. 49% of all male engineering students who hold an A-level in maths have a grade A (compared to 44% of males nationally), while 60% of all female engineering students who hold an A-level in maths have a grade A (compared to 47% nationally).

Only 3.3% of female students nationally who obtained grade A in A-level maths (2.3% for grade B) subsequently went on to study engineering, compared to 11.9% (12.6% for grade B) of male students nationally. About 10% of female students nationally who obtained grades A or B in physics A-level went on to study engineering, compared to 20% for male students. A similar pattern is seen for the Scottish Higher qualifications.
Graduates with no maths background at entry (mainly in the post-92 sector) who manage to progress past their first year of study do equally as well in their final degree as those who do have a maths qualification at entry.

Graduation

Of the 10,423 full-time UK-domiciled engineering students graduating:

- 32.5% graduated with an integrated masters degree
- 65% with a bachelor’s degree
- 2.5% leave with an ordinary degree.

For Integrated Masters graduates:

- 38% of integrated master’s graduates receive a first class degree
- 46% receive a 2:1
- 6.4% receive a 2:2 or lower
- 10% receive an integrated master’s degree which is awarded without a classification.

For bachelor’s graduates:

- 23% receive a first class degree
- 40% receive a 2:1
- 29% receiving a 2:2
- 8% receive a third class degree or lower.

There is evidence to show that higher attainment in mathematics at entry corresponds to a better engineering degree classification at graduation, and higher attainment in maths, or maths and physics, at entry corresponds to the highest continuation rates after the first year of study. Those with no maths at all at entry show the highest non-continuation rates after the first year, with almost 17% of such students no longer in higher education after their first year of study; compared to less than 10% non-progression for students with A or B grades in maths A level. However, those graduates with no maths background at entry (mainly in the post-92 sector) who manage to progress past their first year of study do equally as well in their final degree as those who do have a maths qualification at entry.

First destinations after graduation

About six months after the engineering graduates leave university, 66.3% were working full-time, 12.5% were engaged in full-time study, 8.4% were unemployed and looking for work while the remaining 12.6% were pursuing part-time work or study, travel or something else. However, just 38.8% of all engineering graduates hold full-time professional engineering jobs six months after graduation.

Of those graduates working full-time, 58.5% were in professional engineering jobs, 32.9% in professional non-engineering jobs, and 8.6% in non-professional jobs. 79.1% of the full-time working graduates with an integrated master’s degree were working in engineering professional jobs, compared with just 50.4% of full-time working bachelor’s graduates.

Gender issues

The report identifies gender issues in the study of engineering and subsequent employment success. Female students’ entry qualifications in maths and physics are generally better than those of male students, with proportionally larger numbers of female students studying in the pre-92 sector (15%) than the post-92 sector (8.7%). The final degree classifications of female graduates from integrated master’s degrees are the same as for male graduates, but female graduates obtain proportionally more first and 2:1 classification bachelor’s degrees.

The proportion of graduates from the pre-92 universities who are in full-time employment is similar for males and females, whether they have graduated from bachelor’s or integrated master’s degrees; however there are some gender differences in the type of employment. The 62% of female integrated master’s graduates who are in full-time employment in engineering professional jobs is ten percentage points lower than their male counterparts. Working female graduates are more likely than male working graduates to be in non-professional or non-engineering professional jobs. Similarly, the 53% of female bachelor’s graduates who are in full-time employment in engineering professional jobs is 4% lower than their male counterparts in the pre-92 sector. For bachelor’s engineering graduates from the post-92 sector, the gender differences are more pronounced with only 30% of female bachelor’s graduates who are in full-time employment being in engineering professional jobs, some seventeen percentage points lower than for their male counterparts. A 14% greater proportion go into non-engineering professional jobs. The number of integrated master’s graduates in the post-92 sector was relatively small (181 graduates in total), but proportionally they are the most successful at securing employment in an engineering professional job, achieved by 79% of both male and female graduates.

Female engineering graduates are less likely than their male counterparts to be unemployed six months after graduation (8.6% male unemployment vs 7.3% female unemployment), and this has been found to be the case in other studies.

Recommendations

Maths as an entry qualification

More work should be undertaken to investigate alternative approaches to school and university qualification routes to identify those which would maximise the talent pool for the engineering profession. It is surprising, for instance, that all high school students studying any science A-level, are not expected to study sufficient maths topics up until the age of 18 to the extent that they would then be able to study engineering (or any other science/technology discipline) at university. University curricula could then be developed for a larger pool of potential engineering students.

It is clear that better mathematics qualifications upon entry correspond with higher attainment in engineering degrees, and those students with poor mathematics on entry are more likely to drop out of engineering higher education altogether. Good maths qualifications are

required by many universities particularly in the pre-92 sector. On the other hand, the post-92 sector successfully graduates many engineering students who do not have recognised maths qualifications at entry. Clearly a lot of high-calibre school leavers are limited in their opportunities for studying engineering at university due to decisions made years previously as to which subjects they should study.

Curriculum differences across UK higher education
More work should be done to identify the suitability of non-A-level qualifications, and understand the differences in curriculum and graduate attributes, between pre- and post-92 engineering programmes. Post-92 universities are mainly admitting bachelor’s students with a wide range of non-A-level and A-level entry qualifications, but are graduating similar proportions of firsts, 2.1s as in the pre-92 sector which admits primarily A-level entrants with A-levels in the sciences and maths.

MEng provision in post-92 universities
The engineering profession should look into ways of encouraging more students with good entry qualifications to study MEng at post-92 universities and investigate why it is that fewer engineering students graduate with an MEng degree from the post-92 sector than in the pre-92 sector. Although there are relatively few MEng graduates from the post-92 institutions, those graduates appear to be very employable with greater proportions entering professional engineering jobs than from any other sector.

Female graduates leaving the engineering profession
Female engineering graduates are more likely than males to be employed in non-engineering professional jobs six months after graduation, wait until an engineering job becomes available, or whether they are put off engineering careers for some reason.

PhD study by UK engineering graduates
It was surprising to many engineering academics, particularly at pre-92 universities, how few UK engineering graduates first destination was a PhD research student. Recruitment of UK engineering graduates as academic staff in engineering departments is often challenging, and although international academics have made a tremendous contribution to engineering higher education in the UK, they inevitably have limited experience of the UK schools and qualifications system, engineering undergraduate education and the UK engineering profession. A debate is needed as to the appropriate balance between encouraging the best engineering graduates into employment to support industry and the wider economy as opposed to encouraging and incentivising them to become the academics of the future.

Longitudinal career information about engineering graduates
The 2012 graduating cohort analysed in this study was the first for which entry qualifications could be linked with degree results and first destinations. It would be useful to extend this study to include longitudinal (3-year after graduation) destination data when it becomes available, plus add further graduating cohorts at some point in the future. The engineering profession should also investigate whether career development data recorded by its professional bodies can be linked to this data.

Other subjects contributing to engineering
There are a range of other subjects that contribute to engineering which have not been included in this study because of the nature of the data collection. These include degrees in computer sciences, physical sciences, mathematics and other subjects. A further study needs to be undertaken to better understand their contribution to engineering skills.
Glossary of terms

Advanced Scottish Higher. An optional qualification in Scotland undertaken after a student completes Scottish Highers. The content is considered broadly equivalent to A levels, and can include first-year Scottish degree material. Therefore Advanced Highers can be used to gain direct entry into the second year of a degree in Scotland.

A-levels. General Certificate of Education Advanced Levels are UK academic qualifications for students completing secondary (pre-university) education. They are considered to be at level 3 of the UK National Qualifications Framework.

Bachelor’s degree. An undergraduate academic degree qualification awarded after typically three or four years studying a degree programme at a higher education institution. It is considered to be at level 6 of the UK National Qualifications Framework.

BEng and BSc. Bachelor’s degree awards which may be associated with the study of engineering in the UK. Sometimes BEng awards are associated with degrees aimed at the CEng accreditation route, with BSc awards aimed at Eing or non-accredited routes.

Chartered Engineer (CEng) and Incorporated Engineer (IEng). An engineer that is registered with the Engineering Council, requiring demonstration of professional competences and commitment developed through education and working experience. As set out in the professional standard, UK-SPEC. The CEng title is protected in civil law and usually requires an accredited academic qualification in engineering at bachelor’s level, and professional experience. Twenty-two professional engineering institutions are licensed by the Engineering Council to assess candidates for CEng and IEng registration.

Diploma in Higher Education. A level 4 qualification, with content considered broadly equivalent to A levels, and can include first-year degree material. Therefore Diplomas in Higher Education can be used to gain direct entry into the second year of a degree in Scotland.

Engineering Council. Britain’s regulatory authority for registration of professional engineers and engineering technicians recognised by the British government as the national representative body for the engineering profession in the United Kingdom. It is responsible for the accreditation of educational and training programmes in engineering.

Entry qualifications. These are the minimum level of qualifications that an entrant must obtain in order to gain access to UK Higher Education. The qualifications requested by universities for entry onto their undergraduate engineering programmes can be quite varied. Often, students will be asked to obtain a certain number of UCAS ‘tariff points’, which can typically vary from 220–320 for most engineering programmes. Institutions may also request specific qualifications and grades appropriate to the study of engineering, typically ranging from AA*A to BBB at A-level where one of the subjects must be mathematics and one must be a science, preferably physics. Entry to Scottish Universities typically require from AAA to BBB for Scottish Highers which must include maths and either physics or technological studies.

HESA. The Higher Education Statistics Agency is the UK body which collects and disseminates higher education statistical data.

HNC. A Higher National Certificate is a qualification offered primarily by colleges of further education and can provide a vocational pathway into higher education. It is considered to be at level 4 of the UK National Qualifications Framework, broadly equivalent to first year of university.

HND. A Higher National Diploma is a qualification offered primarily by colleges of further education and can provide a vocational pathway into higher education. It is considered to be at level 5 of the UK National Qualifications Framework, broadly equivalent to second year of university.

Integrated master’s degree. This is an extended undergraduate master’s degree, with an additional year of study at master’s level. Typically the first two or three years of study are common with the equivalent bachelor’s degree. An accredited integrated master’s degree provides the academic knowledge and understanding required for Chartered Engineer. Normally, progression through an integrated master’s degree requires a sustained minimum academic level of achievement after the first year of study. It is considered to be at level 7 of the UK National Qualifications Framework, equivalent to a postgraduate master’s degree. Because an accredited integrated master’s degree fully provides the academic knowledge and understanding required for Chartered Engineer, graduates with this qualification can be the preferred choice for engineering recruiters.

JACS. The Joint Academic Coding System is used by HESA and UCAS to classify academic subjects of study at universities in the UK. The main engineering degree disciplines are given the letter H followed by numbers 1–8 (see table 1).

MEng, MSc and MSc(Eng). Master’s degree awards which may be associated with the study of engineering in the UK. An MEng is the usual integrated master’s degree award, while MSc and MSc(Eng) are often awards related to postgraduate master’s.

OCR. Oxford Cambridge and RSA is the UK award body for the Cambridge Nationals general and vocational qualifications.

Postgraduate (PG). Study for a higher degree, such as a master’s or PhD, for which a first degree, such as a bachelor’s degree, is generally required for entry.

Post-92 HEI/University. A higher education institution (university) that was a former polytechnic or college, given university status in 1992 or more recently.

Pre-92 HEI/University. A higher education institution that was a university before 1992.

Sankey Diagram. Sankey diagrams are used in this report to illustrate the flow through or proportioning of students through degree programmes and/or into employment, using arrows whose widths are proportional to the number of students and the proportions of types of students at each stage.

Scottish Higher. One of the national school-leaving certificates in Scotland. A university entrance qualification at level 6 on the Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework.

SOC code. Standard Occupational Classifications are determined by the Office of National Statistics (ONS) to classify common occupations in terms of a job’s skill level and content. They are used in the HESA DLHE surveys to classify the type of employment of a graduate. Some SOC codes in engineering are considered to represent “professional” employment.

SQA. The Scottish Qualifications Authority is responsible for accrediting educational awards in Scotland, including Scottish Highers and Advanced Highers as well as a variety of Scottish vocational qualifications (SVQs).

UCAS. The Universities and Colleges Admissions Service processes applications to almost all full-time undergraduate degree programmes at British universities and colleges. It provides a central service through which prospective students apply for undergraduate study.

UK-domiciled. The definition used by HESA for UK-domiciled students is those students whose normal residence prior to commencing their programme of study was in the UK including Guernsey, Jersey and the Isle of Man.

Undergraduate (UG). Study for a first degree (bachelor’s or integrated master’s) that typically involves 3–5 years of post-secondary education at a university.
The Royal Academy of Engineering’s 2012 report Jobs and growth: the importance of engineering skills to the UK economy provided good evidence that demand for graduate engineers in the UK exceeds supply. Graduate engineers are recruited by both engineering and non-engineering employers, and while UK employers could (and do increasingly) recruit international engineering graduates, it would clearly be beneficial if UK universities could produce a sufficient pool of home-grown engineering graduates to meet the demand.

Following on from this, the Royal Academy of Engineering Skills for the nation: engineering undergraduates in the UK report provided an overview from an admissions perspective of UK engineering higher education, provided by 182 independent institutions (109 universities and 73 FE colleges) that offer a wide variety of engineering programmes. UK engineering higher education enjoys a good reputation for quality at home and internationally (there is about one international undergraduate student studying in the UK for every two UK engineering students), and this is underpinned by an effective and internationally recognised system of accreditation undertaken by the professional engineering institutions.

A major aim of this current study was to build on the earlier findings in order to map out in more detail the very complex landscape of engineering higher education. In particular, to analyse the detailed datasets now available which allow the tracking of engineering students from the admissions process through to degree award and on to the graduates’ first destination in employment or further study. The complexity of engineering higher education, and limitations on the availability of data, have required us to limit the analysis specifically to the near ten-and-a-half thousand UK-domiciled engineering students who graduate each year with full-time bachelor’s or integrated master’s degrees in engineering from 90 different institutions. While this does exclude international students, part-time UK-domiciled students, and a small number of students who have repeated years of study during their degree, it does include the great majority of students of interest to UK recruiters of engineering graduates.

The report aims to identify whether engineering higher education in both pre-92 and post-92 sectors is attracting school students of both genders in healthy numbers, and with the most appropriate qualifications in order for them to succeed in becoming graduates attractive to employers. The hope is that this information will be useful to those providing guidance to students considering the study of engineering at university level, and to universities providing engineering degree programmes, as to issues related to successful progression through to degree award, and employment thereafter ideally in professional engineering jobs. The report provides a reliable and detailed analysis of the demographics of UK-domiciled engineering students in higher education, including numbers, entry qualifications, engineering disciplines studied, degree types and awards, and first destinations, with comparisons between genders and university sectors.

The findings have been discussed with academic and admissions staff in a number of universities to clarify and contextualise the data.
Indicators of success for engineering students and graduates

In this study, ‘success’ has mainly been related to two measurable indicators relevant to engineering degrees and careers:

• a ‘good’ degree award outcome, often considered in the UK to be the award of a first or 2:1 degree classification (and also unclassified integrated master’s degrees\(^1\)) for undergraduate (bachelor’s and integrated master’s) study, as this may be a prerequisite for employers recruiting engineering graduates or for entry into postgraduate study. Recent media attention has highlighted that almost 70% of all graduates are now awarded either a first or 2:1 degree classification, with the Association of Graduate Recruiters (AGR) stating that some companies are now considering only hiring students with a first-class degree\(^2\).

A more complex indicator also considered in this study is the extent to which students successfully progress through their degree programmes. Students can repeat years of study, undertake industrial placements while suspending their studies for a year, transfer between programmes, and move between universities, as well as leaving higher education and tracking students from available data can be ambiguous. However, information related specifically to progression and drop-out at the end of the first year of study is presented.

• a graduate’s success in obtaining professional employment (preferably full-time in an engineering-related professional job) soon after graduation, or progression into further postgraduate study at master’s or doctoral level. HESA’s Destination of Leavers from Higher Education (DLHE) datasets are available to provide this ‘first destination’ data, obtained from a survey of the majority of graduates about six months after their graduation (in early 2013 for our summer 2012 graduating cohort).

Recent media attention has highlighted that almost 70% of all graduates are now awarded either a first or 2:1 degree classification, with the Association of Graduate Recruiters (AGR) stating that some companies are now considering only hiring students with a first-class degree.

UK engineering students: numbers and disciplines

The cohort considered in this study is the UK-domiciled students who graduated from a full-time undergraduate engineering degree (bachelor’s or integrated master’s) in 2012. This cohort of 10,421 is just over 40% of the 25,000 students who accepted a place at UK HE institutions to study full-time or part-time for an engineering bachelor’s degree, integrated master’s, foundation degree, HND or Foundation year.

In this cohort, 87.4% (9,105) of the students were male, and 12.6% (1,316) female. HESA only began to collect students’ entry qualification data for the first time in the academic year 2007/08, and therefore all full-time students that began a three-, four-, or five-year degree programme on or after this date can be tracked in HESA datasets from entry qualification through to graduation, and on to first destination six months later. This does, unfortunately, currently preclude reliable inclusion of students undertaking part-time study and a small number of students who have repeated years of study during their degree.

Students were only included if they were considered to graduate from an undergraduate engineering degree programme with ≥50% engineering content according to HESA conventions. The reason for excluding programmes with no individual HJACS code of ≥50% having a non-engineering HJACS code but with ≥50% having a non-engineering HJACS code is that they may be included in the overall numbers of students who have repeated years of study during their degree. Students were only included if they were considered to graduate from an undergraduate engineering degree programme with ≥50% engineering content according to HESA conventions. The reason for excluding programmes where no individual HJACS code was ≥50% or less was to allow the different engineering disciplines to be separately identified and to avoid any double counting in the data. Analysis of the numbers of potential engineering students that this excludes from the cohort considered in this study is as follows:

- 140 students whose total engineering content is ≥50% (and are likely to be on accredited programmes) but with no individual HJACS code of ≥50% or less. The most popular examples include ‘mechatronics engineering’ and ‘electrical and mechanical engineering’ programmes.
- 604 students whose programmes are classed as containing 50% engineering with the other 50% having a non-engineering HJACS code. Two programmes in this category with the highest numbers of students include music technology and construction engineering management. It is thought unlikely that many of these students would be on accredited engineering programmes.
- 203 students on programmes whose total engineering content is 40% or less, such as those studying games technology, music technology or creative product design. It is unlikely that these programmes would be accredited by the engineering professional bodies.
Pre-92 universities may have a stronger emphasis on traditional mathematical skills in engineering science, and thus on topics such as computer-aided engineering, manufacturing and design, providing a slightly different set of learning outcomes.

Almost half of all engineering students that attended a pre-92 institution studied either mechanical engineering (26%) or civil engineering (23%), while in the post-92 sector the most popular programmes were related to electrical and electronic engineering (29%).

As will be shown, post-92 universities tend to admit students with a far wider range of backgrounds than is the case for pre-92 universities, and are more likely to have BSc degrees (alongside their BEng degrees) aimed at the BEng route for some of these students. They would also tend to have more part-time students. Engineering degree programmes in pre-92 universities may have a stronger emphasis on traditional mathematical skills in engineering science aspects of their curricula, and this is often reflected in their admissions requirements and required learning outcomes, while post-92 curricula may place more emphasis on the development of technical and transferable skills and thus on topics such as computer-aided engineering, manufacturing, management and design to ensure that a slightly different set of learning outcomes are met.

Tables 2 and 3 show the relative popularity of engineering degree disciplines (by JACS code, Table 1) among full-time UK domiciled students in the pre- and post-92 university sectors. Almost half of all engineering students who attended a pre-92 institution studied either mechanical engineering (26%) or civil engineering (23%), while in the post-92 sector the most popular programmes were related to electrical and electronic engineering (29%). Across the whole sector, the most graduates were from mechanical engineering (26.2%), civil engineering (22.7%), and electrical and electronic engineering (20.8%) disciplines.

It was felt important to confirm the size of our cohort of 10,421 full-time UK domiciled engineering students graduating at the end of the 2011/12 academic year, with previous estimates of the UK engineering student population and supply of engineering graduates into the UK labour market. The Royal Academy of Engineering’s report ‘Skills for the nation: engineering undergraduates in the UK’ (2013) used admissions data supplied by UCAS to identify that about 24,900 students accepted a place at UK HE institutions to study full-time or part-time for an engineering bachelor’s degree, integrated master’s, foundation degree, HND or Foundation year in 2012. This number included international students applying through UCAS, and all students on programmes with engineering content (including when less than 60%). A dataset of all final-year engineering students at UK universities in 2010 indicated that the engineering student final-year population in that year was made up as follows:

- Full-time UK domiciled bachelor’s and integrated master’s students on JACS H programmes with > 60% engineering (i.e equivalent to our cohort) 42.1%
- HNC, HND, foundation degree and diploma course students 22.1%
- Full-time international bachelor’s and integrated master’s students on JACS H programmes with > 60% engineering 20.5%
- Bachelor’s and integrated master’s on JACS H programmes with < 60% engineering 8.8%
- Part-time bachelor’s and integrated master’s on JACS H programmes with < 60% engineering 6.5%

42% of the 2012 total UK engineering graduating student population (24,900 students) is about 10,500 students, which is comparable with the 10,421 UK domiciled full-time engineering students in the HESA dataset used in the current study. The authors are therefore confident that there are about ten-and-a-half thousand full-time UK domiciled engineering students graduating from bachelor’s and integrated master’s degrees each year in the UK with > 60% engineering subject content.
UK engineering students: university entry qualifications

For the pre-92 sector, 87.4% of students had their highest entry qualification recorded as an A-level (or equivalent) while the post-92 universities admit students with much more varied ‘highest entry qualifications’. Almost half the post-92 students enter with an A-level or equivalent, and the other half entered with one of 37 different non-A-level HESA highest entry qualification types.

The percentages of the 10,421 UK-domiciled full-time 2012 engineering students who entered their university studies with one or more A-level, or other common entry qualifications such as BTEC HNC/HND etc. relevant to engineering study, are shown in Table 4.

- 59.5% of all the engineering students had mathematics at A-level or Scottish Higher (with 2.8% of these holding Advanced Higher as well as Higher level maths).
- 51.5% had physics at A-level or Scottish Higher (with 2.7% of these also holding Advanced Higher level physics).

In the pre-92 sector, 61.3% have mathematics A-level (or Scottish Higher) and 70.4% have physics at this level. The entry qualifications in the post-92 sector are more varied; fewer hold mathematics and physics qualifications, but a greater proportion of those with A-levels have A-levels in Design and Technology, Electronics, and Computing. A significant proportion of the engineering cohort had none of the entry qualifications itemised in Table 4, including the majority in the post-92 sector.

It should be noted that HESA obtain information on a student’s entry qualifications from university returns. The universities will have obtained this qualification data from UCAS at the end of the admissions process. UCAS gather entry qualification data directly from the A-level, BTEC and other awarding bodies. There are circumstances in which it is known that an individual student would appear to have no entry qualifications in university returns to HESA, including a small number of students who do not obtain their entry qualifications in the same year they enter university (which could include some mature students, and deferred-entry UCAS admissions), and students who do not record their BTEC registration number on the UCAS application forms. Accurate recording of BTEC entry qualifications in HESA datasets, especially for students entering soon after such data was first recorded (in 2007/8), was highlighted to us by a number of universities as being an issue. Universities were unsure at that time exactly how to record BTEC qualifications (especially BTEC qualifications below HNC/ HND level) in their returns to HESA, and this coincides with the entry years for the cohort of students considered in this study. This work has therefore tended to focus more on A-level and equivalent entry qualifications, for which there is a great deal of confidence in the recorded data. (See Table 4).

Table 4 shows the grades of maths and physics A-levels or Scottish Highers held by engineering students who hold this entry qualification in the pre-92 sector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry qualification</th>
<th>Pre-92 (%)</th>
<th>Post-92 (%)</th>
<th>Whole cohort (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-level maths</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level physics</td>
<td>59.8%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level chemistry</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level geology</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level further maths</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level biology</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Higher maths</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level D&amp;T</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Higher physics</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level geography</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level electronics</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-level computing</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Scottish Higher maths</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Scottish Higher physics</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS level maths only (no BTEC, access course, OCR diploma)</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority (59.6%) have a grade A in mathematics, with 86.1% holding an A or B grade. Similarly 72.5% entering with A-level (or equivalent) physics qualifications have grades A or B.

In contrast to the recorded entry qualifications in table 4, figures 1 and 2 (see page 16) show the engineering student cohort’s ‘highest qualification on entry’, as also recorded in HESA datasets, for graduates from the pre-92 and post-92 sectors respectively. For the pre-92 sector (Figure 1), 87.4% of students had their highest entry qualification recorded as an A-level (or equivalent) (with Table 4 indicating that for the majority of students this would be maths and/or physics). The remaining 12.6% of engineering students at pre-92 institutions have many different ‘highest entry qualifications’.

Figure 2 shows that post-92 universities admit students with much more varied ‘highest entry qualifications’ than in the pre-92 sector. Almost half the students do enter with an A-level or equivalent, (although Table 4 suggests that about half of these A-level entrants do not have maths A-level at either AS or A level). The other half of post-92 university graduates entered with one of 37 different non-A-level HESA highest entry qualification types, as shown.

While Table 4 suggested that only 3.3% of engineering students at post-92 institutions enter with a BTEC HNC/HND
in an engineering subject (aerospace, automotive, electrical and/or electronic, general, manufacturing, mechanical or operations engineering). Figure 2 implies about 11% of entrants have a BTEC HNC/HND in some subject recorded as their ‘highest entry qualification’. Possibly these are non-engineering BTEC HNC/HND qualifications, but as already stated, HESA acknowledge that recorded data associated with BTEC entry qualifications is possibly unreliable for our cohort. For this reason the study does not include further analysis with BTEC qualifications.

As 52% of the engineering student cohort considered in this study hold either an A-level or Scottish equivalent qualification in mathematics (Table 4), and 44% hold such qualifications in physics, and as confidence in mathematics and physics is often considered to be one of the important indicators of potential for success on engineering degree programmes, it was considered important to look in detail at the maths and physics A-level (and equivalent) qualifications held by engineering students in this cohort.

Figures 3 and 4 show the maths grade distributions for male and female engineering students in our cohort who have mathematics A-level, or Scottish Highers, entry qualifications respectively. Also indicated are the grade distributions obtained nationally by all students who

Figure 3. Proportions of A-level/maths grades A–E for male and female engineering students who held this qualification at entry (50.7% of males (4,618 students) and 58.0% of females (763 students) in our cohort). Also shown are the proportions of A-level/maths grades A–E achieved by the national population achieving this qualification in 2009 (41,763 males and 26,773 females).

Figure 4. Scottish Higher Maths grades A–D for male and female engineering students, compared to the national population achieving this qualification in 2009. (Note only grades A–C are pass grades).
achieved these qualifications in 2009 (the year the majority of BEng graduates in our cohort would have entered university). As might be expected, a higher proportion of students with A* grades in maths at A-level or Scottish Highers are admitted to study engineering than the proportion achieved by the national population who take the qualification. 49% of all male engineering students who hold an A-level in maths have a grade A (compared to 44% of males nationally, Figure 3), while 60% of all female engineering students who hold an A-level in maths have a grade A (compared to 47% nationally). Female engineering students have better maths A-level qualifications on average than males, and the grade differences are even more pronounced for Scottish Highers (Figure 4).

However, while 41,763 male students and 26,773 female students achieved A-level grades A–E in maths nationally in 2009, only 3.33% of the female students that obtained an A grade (457 out of 13,710 female A-level students) subsequently studied engineering, compared to 11.9% of male students that obtained an A grade (2,266 out of 19,030 male A-level students).

Similarly, only 2.3% of female students that obtained a grade B in A-level maths (763 out of 28,773 female students) subsequently studied engineering, compared to 11.1% for male students (2,668 out of 23,763 male A-level students).

A similar pattern is seen for the Scottish Higher maths qualifications in 2009. Overall, 1.6% of the female students that obtained a pass grade (A–C) in maths (106 out of 6,665 females) subsequently studied engineering, compared to 10.1% of males (722 out of 7,141 males). For those with grade A maths at Scottish Higher level, 5.2% of females (78 out of 2,086 females) subsequently studied engineering compared to 16.5% of males (389 out of 2,362 males).

Clearly, engineering study at university is attracting a greater proportion of the best physics-qualified school-leavers compared with maths-qualified school-leavers (eg just over 8% of school-leavers with A grades at A-level maths), there are significant gender differences with engineering attracting typically only a quarter to a fifth of the best maths-qualified female school leavers compared with male school leavers.

The study of physics to A-level (or equivalent) is also often considered an important indicator of potential for study of engineering at university. Figures 5 and 6 show the grade distributions for the 44% of engineering students who hold physics A-level and Scottish Highers, respectively, alongside the grade distribution for the national population. Engineering students are more likely to have ‘A’ and ‘B’ grades in physics than the national population, with female students holding slightly higher proportions of ‘A’ grades compared to male students.

There are greater gender differences than for maths in terms of the overall numbers of male and female school students in the national population leaving with A-level grades A–E in physics in 2009 (21,776 male students and 6,348 female students).

- 8.7% of these female students (553 out of 6,348 females) subsequently studied engineering, compared to 18.6% for male students (4,044 out of 21,776 males).

- About 10% of the female school students that obtained either grade A (236 out of 2,380 females) or grade B (145 out of 1,458 females) in A-level physics subsequently studied engineering, compared to about 20% of the male school students that obtained an A grade (1,419 out of 7,030 males) or B grade (972 out of 4,671 males). For the Scottish Higher physics qualifications in 2009, 4.6% of the female school students that obtained a pass grade (A–C) in physics (94 out of 2,055 females) subsequently studied engineering, compared to 14.0% for male school students (468 out of 3,483 males).

- For those achieving grade A ‘Physics’ at Scottish Higher level, the proportions subsequently studying engineering increased to 5.9% for females (52 from 877) and 17.2% for males (334 from 1,946 males).

Clearly, engineering study at university is attracting a greater proportion of the best physics-qualified school-leavers compared with maths-qualified school-leavers (eg just over 18% of A-level physics students achieving A grades subsequently study engineering, compared with just over 8% of maths-qualified students with A grades). However, there are significant gender differences, although perhaps slightly less so than was the case with maths.
Obtaining a ‘good’ engineering degree: overview

32.5% of all engineering students graduated with an integrated master’s degree in 2012 and 65% graduated with a bachelor’s degree. The post-92 sector is more oriented towards bachelor degree programmes with just 4.5% of post-92 students graduating from integrated master’s programmes.

The degree awards for all UK-domiciled full-time engineering students who graduated in 2012 are shown in Figure 7. The cohort is divided by degree type (integrated master’s, bachelor’s and ordinary degree), degree classification, and gender.

65% of engineering students graduated with a bachelor’s degree, of whom 89% were male and 11% female. For these bachelor’s graduates, 23% received a first class degree and 40% received a 2:1 classification, with a further 29% receiving a 2:2. Just 8% received a third class degree or lower. 2.5% of engineering graduates were awarded an ordinary degree.

Comparisons of degree awards for engineering students graduating from universities in the pre- and post-92 sectors can be seen in Figure 8 (see page 22).

- The pre-92 sector graduated 61.7% (6,429) of all the UK-domiciled full-time engineering students, 84.9% of whom were male and 15.1% female. It produced almost equal numbers of integrated master’s and bachelor’s degree graduates (49.9% and 48.8% respectively).
- A very slightly higher proportion of female students (52.8%) graduated with integrated master’s degrees compared with male students (51.9%).
- Of the students who obtained an integrated master’s degree, about 93% obtained either first or 2:1 (or MEng without classification), with no significant difference between genders.

32.5% of all engineering students graduated with an integrated master’s degree in 2012. 84% of these graduates were male and 16% female. Overall, 38% of integrated master’s graduates received a first class degree, 46% received a 2:1 classification and 10% received an ‘unclassified’ master’s degree. Similarly, a significant number of post-92 universities may award their integrated master’s degrees with ‘merit’ and/or ‘distinction’ (or ‘commendation’), rather than using the first class, 2.1 etc. classification system. 72 from the 105 integrated master’s graduates from post-92 universities had A-level or Scottish Higher maths. Although the majority had only grade C in maths, this is still much higher than for the general post-92 student population. Hence it has been assumed that these also represent ‘successful’ degree outcomes. Unclassified integrated master’s degrees are therefore referred to in this report as MEng (not classified) to clearly distinguish them from ‘unclassified’ bachelor’s and ordinary degrees which may refer to graduates who fail to achieve the minimum requirements of a classified Honours degree, bachelor’s degrees, obtained with a bachelor’s degree, of whom 89% were male and 11% female. For these bachelor’s graduates, 23% received a first class degree and 40% received a 2:1 classification, with a further 29% receiving a 2:2. Just 8% received a third class degree or lower. 2.5% of engineering graduates were awarded an ordinary degree.

• For bachelor’s degree graduates, 58% obtain a first or 2:1, with the percentage being slightly higher for females (62.4%) compared with males (57.3%)

The post-92 sector graduated 38.3% of all engineering students in 2012. 91.3% of whom were male and 8.7% female. 91% of UK domiciled students at post 92 universities left with a bachelor’s degree and 4.5% from integrated master’s programmes. 91% of its UK-domiciled full-time graduates left with such a degree, compared with 4.5% graduating from integrated master’s programmes. For bachelor’s degree graduates in the post-92 sector, 67.2% obtained a first or 2:1. As with graduates from the pre-92 sector, this percentage was slightly higher for female students (72.2%).

It should be noted that there is some inconsistency regarding an ‘unclassified’ degree award in the HESA dataset. About 7% of pre-92 and 58% of post-92 integrated master’s awards are recorded as ‘unclassified’. A very small number of pre-92 universities, such as the University of Cambridge, do not classify their integrated master’s MEng, which is the normal degree award for their engineering students. Of the 225 students graduating with an unclassified degree in 2012, 219 students entered with ‘A’ grades in both maths AND physics A level or Scottish Higher qualifications. This work has therefore identified such non-classified integrated master’s degrees and assumed that they generally represent high achieving graduates.

Figure 7. Sankey diagram showing the degree awards for all engineering students who graduated in 2012. Blue shading is for male students (M), red shading is for female students (F).
Obtaining a ‘good’ engineering degree: how important are A-level maths and physics?

Slightly greater proportions of students with an A grade at A-level maths graduate with a first or 2:1 (including MEng without classification) from both bachelor’s and integrated master’s degrees (Figure 9), but there is no statistical correlation between maths grade and degree classification at integrated master’s or bachelor’s level. First class or 2:1 degrees are not restricted to those engineering students with the best A-level maths entry qualifications.

As will be shown in the next section, students with weaker maths qualifications on entry, and particularly those with no prior maths qualifications, tend to show higher non-continuation rates after the first year of study. Given that some weaker students are no longer in the education system, this may account for the lack of correlation between A-level maths grades and final degree classifications.

Other reasons could include institutions’ increasing remedial support for those students with a weaker maths background which thereby boost weaker students’ level of maths during the degree programme or the introduction of engineering programmes, in some institutions which have greater focus on management and business rather than maths.

For male students studying engineering, Figure 10 shows a moderate correlation between grade of maths A level at entry and the proportion graduating with a first or 2:1 (or MEng without classification) for integrated master’s and bachelor’s degrees combined (Spearman $r=-0.949, p<0.001$). For female students, however, there is a high correlation between grade of maths and obtaining a first class degree, (Spearman $r=-1, p<0.001$), even though the numbers of female graduates are relatively low. Overall, for both male and female students combined, a moderate correlation is seen between maths A-level grade and graduating with a first class degree (Spearman $r=-0.949, p=0.05$).

Comparing graduates from pre-92 and post-92 universities for bachelor’s and integrated master’s degrees combined (Figure 11 (see page 24)): • the percentage graduating with a 2:1 or above shows a moderate correlation (Spearman $r=-0.949, p>0.05$) with maths A-level grade in the pre-92 sector while there is no such statistical correlation in the post-92 sector • when we consider just those graduating with a first class degree, for those that enter university with A-level maths there is a high correlation between the maths entry grade and the percentage graduating with a first in the post-92 sector (Spearman $r=-1, p<0.001$) whereas there is no comparable correlation in the pre-92 sector.
Obtaining a ‘good’ engineering degree: how important are A-level maths and physics?

Of the 3,246 engineering students who entered with A or B grades in both maths and physics A-levels or Scottish Highers:

- 35.4% went on to achieve a first
- 40.4% a 2.1
- 7.4% achieved an MEng without classification.

If this is then compared with the 637 engineering students who entered with maths A-level or Scottish Higher at grade A or B, but without a physics A-level or Scottish Higher:

- 30.8% went on to achieve a first
- 46.6% a 2.1
- 0.2% (1 student) achieved an MEng without classification.

This compares to the whole cohort average where:

- 27.2% achieve a first
- 40.9% achieve a 2.1
- 3.2% obtain an MEng without classification.
Obtaining a ‘good’ engineering degree: progression rates

The cohort of graduates considered in this study are those students that had successfully passed each year of study as originally planned without having to repeat or add additional years of study, or without changing course or leaving higher education. Hence ‘non-continuation’ has no meaning for our cohort. ‘Non-continuation’ is a term used by HESA to identify whether a student continues on a degree programme from one year to the next at the university they originally entered, or whether they transfer to a different university, or leave higher education completely. Retention of students has significant financial implications for universities.

Figure 12 compares the non-continuation rates at the end of the first year of study for UK-domiciled students with a variety of entry qualifications, all of whom entered university in 2007/08 (the first year of the three entry years for our cohort) and were studying full-time for degrees with ≥60% engineering content. Higher attainment in maths, or maths and physics, at entry corresponds to the highest continuation rates after the first year of study. Those with ‘no maths at all’ at entry show the highest non-continuation rates, with almost 17% of such students no longer in higher education after their first year of study.

Figure 12. Non-continuation by entry qualification and grade - non-exclusive datasets (numbers in brackets show the total number of students in each group).

Obtaining a professional engineering job: overview of first destinations

Successfully obtaining a graduate-level professional job is often considered as the reward for success in higher education. For the engineering profession, there is also a desire to maximise retention of engineering graduates in engineering professional jobs.

The main measure of graduates’ post-university career path, linked directly to their university studies, is the annual Destinations of Leavers from Higher Education (DLHE) survey, for which all higher education institutions have to survey a minimum of 80% of their UK-domiciled graduates starting about 6 months after they graduate, and return the data to HESA. Other more long-term surveys of graduates’ careers are now being undertaken. DLHE data is collected by paper surveys, email and phone calls, to the graduate or their next-of-kin, and asks for information including the graduate’s ‘most important activity’. This gives an indication of whether the graduate is in full-time or part-time employment, or further study (most likely postgraduate master’s or PhD research), or doing something else such as travelling. For those in employment, a judgement is made by the university as to the standard occupation code (SOC code) of each graduate’s job, based on the stated job title and duties. Some of these SOC codes are taken to indicate the graduate is in a
Of those engineering graduates that were working full-time (5,554 graduates): 58.5% were in a professional engineering job.

Of the 1,045 engineering graduates whose first destination was full-time study, 32.3% were undertaking a higher degree by research (presumably PhD research) which represents just 3.2% of the whole graduating cohort, and just 5% of pre-92 graduates.

In full-time work. The 58.5% of full-time working engineering graduates who were in professional engineering jobs (SOC212), and the 6.6% in non-professional jobs, are in the first two columns. The remaining 32.9% of working engineering graduates in non-engineering professional jobs are the sum total of all the remaining SOC codes shown.

Although SOC212 ‘Engineering professionals’ is used in this study as the primary indicator of employment in a professional engineering job, other SOC codes could also indicate this. An example is SOC213 1T ‘Telecommunications engineers’ which is especially relevant for graduates from electrical and electronic engineering degrees (HE). For these HE graduates specifically, while 31.1% are categorised as SOC212 ‘Engineering professionals’, 19.6% are SOC213 1T and telecommunications professional’ (see Appendix B for discipline versions of figure 13). Similarly, some of SOC112 ‘Production managers and directors’ and SOC31 ‘Science, engineering and production technicians’ could also be engineering professional jobs.

Figure 13 indicated that female engineering graduates appear to be proportionally slightly less likely than male graduates to be in an engineering professional job, and more likely to be in a non-engineering professional job. These gender differences can also be seen in figure 15, which shows that working female graduates are about 6% less likely to be in engineering professional jobs compared with their male counterparts, and instead they are slightly less likely than male graduates to work in non-engineering professional employment, particularly within sectors such as business and finance (SOC242/959), sales and marketing (SOC354), and design (SOC342).

Figure 16 shows the first destination data separately for graduates from each university sector and degree award.

• Of the 2,745 integrated master’s graduates who responded from the pre-92 sector, 73.3% were working full-time 6 months after graduation, 10.6% were undertaking full-time study and 6.4% were unemployed.

• Of those working full-time, 71.4% were working in engineering professional jobs, 24.6% had a non-engineering professional job, while 4.0% were in a non-professional job. Of the 10.6% of pre-92 integrated master’s graduates who were in full-time further study, 80.8% were undertaking research degrees and 8.2% taught higher degrees.

• Of the 150 integrated master’s graduates that responded from the post-92 sector, 86.0% were working full-time six months after graduation with 2.0% undertaking full-time study and 7.3% being unemployed. Of those working full time, 79.1% were pursuing careers as engineering professionals with 15.5% working in a non-engineering professional job and 5.4% working in a non-professional job.

• 59.6% of bachelor’s graduates from the pre-92 sector were working full-time, and 56.0% of these were in engineering professional jobs.

• 34.7% of full-time working bachelor’s graduates had a professional job that was not in engineering, and 9.3% held a non-professional job.

• 10.9% of pre-92 bachelor’s graduates were undertaking further study, with the majority of them (65.9%) undertaking a taught higher degree, and 18.2% pursuing a higher degree by research.
Graduating with an integrated master’s degree rather than a bachelor’s degree significantly increases the likelihood of gaining a professional engineering job.
For bachelor’s engineering graduates from the post-92 sector, the gender differences are more pronounced with:

- only 30% of female bachelor’s graduates who are in full-time employment being in engineering professional jobs, some 17% less than the proportion for their male counterparts.
- a 14% greater proportion of females go into non-engineering professional jobs.

The number of integrated master’s graduates in the post-92 sector was relatively small (181 graduates in total) but proportionally they are the most successful at securing employment in an engineering professional job, achieved by 79% of both male and female graduates.

Table 6 shows the proportion of engineering graduates holding each degree award and classification who are working full-time, and the proportions of such full-time working graduates who have professional engineering, non-engineering professional, and non-professional jobs. Also shown are the percentages of unemployed graduates with each degree award and classification.

- Graduates with better degree classifications, or an MEng rather than BEng degree award, are more likely to be in full-time employment, more likely to have a professional engineering job, and less likely to be unemployed.
- MEng graduates are 5–10% more likely to be working, and 15–25% more likely to be working in a professional engineering job, compared to BEng graduates with the same degree classification. This concurs with other studies showing that employers, particularly larger ones, express a clear preference for graduates with either an MEng or a BEng plus MSc, regarding these qualifications as a fast route to chartered status.
- Graduates with 1st class degree classifications are about half as likely to be unemployed as those with a 2:1 degree for the same degree award.

Table 6. Proportions of engineering graduates with each degree award and classification who are working full-time (top row) or unemployed (bottom row). Rows 2—4 indicate the proportions of full-time working graduates in professional engineering, professional non-engineering, and non-professional jobs respectively.

### Appendix A:
Sankey diagrams showing degree award data and first destinations for each engineering discipline (JACS codes H1–H8)

The data presented in the main body of this report considers the engineering graduating cohort as a whole. The Sankey diagrams in this appendix present, for each engineering discipline level using the JACS codes indicated in Table 1, the degree award and first destination data (equivalent to Figures 8 and 16 in the main report) for graduates from each engineering discipline.
H1 general engineering

**Degree award data for H1 general engineering.** There are 1,017 students undertaking general engineering degrees with 80% male, 20% female population. There is a significant number of integrated master’s degrees that are not classified and these are thought to be engineering degrees obtained at the University of Cambridge, who do not assign overall classifications to their degrees.

**First destinations data for H1 general engineering.** (1,017 students)
H2 civil engineering

Degree award data for H2 civil engineering. There are 2,309 students undertaking civil engineering degrees with an 85% male, 15% female population.

First destinations data for H2 civil engineering. (2,309 students)
H3 mechanical engineering

Degree award data for H3 mechanical engineering. There are 2,733 students undertaking mechanical engineering degrees with a 92% male, 8% female population.

First destinations data for H3 mechanical engineering. (2,733 students)
H4 aerospace engineering

Degree award data for H4 aerospace engineering. There are 854 students undertaking aerospace engineering degrees with a 90% male, 10% female population.

First destinations data for H4 aerospace engineering. (854 students)
H6 electrical and electronic engineering

Degree award data for H6 electrical and electronic engineering. There are 2,165 students undertaking electrical and electronic engineering degrees with an 89% male, 11% female population.

First destinations data for H6 electrical and electronic engineering (2,165 students)
H7 production and manufacturing engineering

Degree award data for H7 production and manufacturing engineering. There are 436 students undertaking production and manufacturing engineering degrees with an 82% male, 18% female population.

First destinations data for H7 production and manufacturing engineering. (436 students)
H8 chemical, process and energy engineering

Degree award data for H8 chemical, process and energy engineering. There are 813 students undertaking chemical, process and energy engineering degrees with a 79% male, 21% female population.

First destinations data for H8 chemical, process and energy engineering. (813 students)
# Appendix B: pre-92 and post-92 institutions

(Note: This is a complete listing; not all of these institutions offer degree programmes in engineering)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-1992</th>
<th>Pre-1992</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0002 Cranfield University</td>
<td>0001 The Open University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0007 Bishop Grosseteste University College Lincoln</td>
<td>0108 Aston University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0009 Buckinghamshire New University</td>
<td>0109 The University of Bath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010 Central School of Speech and Drama</td>
<td>0110 The University of Birmingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0011 University of Chester</td>
<td>0111 The University of Bradford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0012 Canterbury Christ Church University</td>
<td>0112 The University of Bristol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0013 York St John University</td>
<td>0113 Brunel University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0014 University College Plymouth St Mark and St John</td>
<td>0114 The University of Cambridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0016 Edge Hill University</td>
<td>0115 The City University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0017 University College Falmouth</td>
<td>0116 University of Durham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0018 Harper Adams University College</td>
<td>0117 The University of East Anglia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0021 The University of Winchester</td>
<td>0118 The University of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0023 Liverpool Hope University</td>
<td>0119 The University of Exeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0024 University of the Arts, London</td>
<td>0120 The University of Hull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0026 University of Bedfordshire</td>
<td>0121 The University of Keele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0027 The University of Northampton</td>
<td>0122 The University of Kent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0028 Newman University College</td>
<td>0123 The University of Lancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0030 Ravensbourne</td>
<td>0124 The University of Leeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0031 Roehampton University</td>
<td>0125 The University of Leicester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0032 Rose Bruford College</td>
<td>0126 The University of Liverpool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0033 Royal Academy of Music</td>
<td>0127 Birbeck College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0034 Royal College of Music</td>
<td>0128 Goldsmiths College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0035 Royal Northern College of Music</td>
<td>0129 Imperial College of Science, Technology &amp; Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0037 Southampton Solent University</td>
<td>0130 Institute of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0038 University of Cumbria</td>
<td>0131 King's College London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0039 St Mary's University College, Twickenham</td>
<td>0132 London Business School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0040 Leeds Trinity University College</td>
<td>0133 London School of Economics and Political Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0046 The University of Worcester</td>
<td>0134 London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0047 Anglia Ruskin University</td>
<td>0135 Queen Mary and Westfield College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0048 Bath Spa University</td>
<td>0136 Royal Holloway and Bedford New College</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-1992</th>
<th>Pre-1992</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0049 The University of Bolton</td>
<td>0143 The Royal Veterinary College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0050 Bournemouth University</td>
<td>0145 St George's Hospital Medical School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0051 The University of Brighton</td>
<td>0146 The School of Oriental and African Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0052 Birmingham City University</td>
<td>0147 The School of Pharmacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0053 The University of Central Lancashire</td>
<td>0149 University College London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0054 University of Gloucestershire</td>
<td>0151 University of London (Institutes and activities)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0056 Coventry University</td>
<td>0152 Loughborough University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0057 University of Derby</td>
<td>0154 The University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0058 The University of East London</td>
<td>0155 The University of Nottingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0059 The University of Greenwich</td>
<td>0156 The University of Oxford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0060 University of Hertfordshire</td>
<td>0157 The University of Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0061 The University of Huddersfield</td>
<td>0158 The University of Salford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0062 The University of Lincoln</td>
<td>0159 The University of Sheffield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0063 Kingston University</td>
<td>0160 The University of Southampton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0064 Leeds Metropolitan University</td>
<td>0161 The University of Surrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0065 Liverpool John Moores University</td>
<td>0162 The University of Sussex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0066 The Manchester Metropolitan University</td>
<td>0163 The University of Warwick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0067 Middlesex University</td>
<td>0164 The University of York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0068 De Montfort University</td>
<td>0167 The University of Edinburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0069 The University of Northumbria at Newcastle</td>
<td>0168 The University of Glasgow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0071 The Nottingham Trent University</td>
<td>0169 The University of Strathclyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0072 Oxford Brookes University</td>
<td>0170 The University of Aberdeen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0073 The University of Plymouth</td>
<td>0171 Heriot-Watt University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0074 The University of Portsmouth</td>
<td>0172 The University of Dundee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0075 Sheffield Hallam University</td>
<td>0173 The University of St Andrews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0076 London South Bank University</td>
<td>0174 The University of Stirling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0077 Staffordshire University</td>
<td>0175 University of Wales Trinity Saint David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0078 The University of Sunderland</td>
<td>0177 Aberystwyth University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0079 Teesside University</td>
<td>0178 Bangor University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0080 The University of West London</td>
<td>0179 Cardiff University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0081 University of the West of England, Bristol</td>
<td>0180 Swansea University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0082 The University of Chichester</td>
<td>0184 The Queen's University of Belfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0083 The University of Westminster</td>
<td>0185 University of Ulster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0085 The University of Wolverhampton</td>
<td>0204 The University of Manchester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## References

1. UKCME NSS report
2. Information on tariff points assigned to each UK qualification [http://www.ucas.com/how-it-all-works/explore-your-options/entry-requirements/tariff-tables#](http://www.ucas.com/how-it-all-works/explore-your-options/entry-requirements/tariff-tables#)

### Post-1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>University</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0086</td>
<td>The University of Wales, Newport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0087</td>
<td>Glyndŵr University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0089</td>
<td>Cardiff Metropolitan University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0090</td>
<td>University of Glamorgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0095</td>
<td>Swansea Metropolitan University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0096</td>
<td>University of Abertay Dundee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100</td>
<td>Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0104</td>
<td>The Robert Gordon University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0105</td>
<td>The University of the West of Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0106</td>
<td>Glasgow Caledonian University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0107</td>
<td>Edinburgh Napier University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0193</td>
<td>Stranmillis University College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0194</td>
<td>St Mary’s University College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0196</td>
<td>University of the Highlands and Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202</td>
<td>London Metropolitan University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0203</td>
<td>The University of Buckingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0211</td>
<td>Leeds College of Art</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pre-1992

- Queen Margaret University
- The Robert Gordon University
- The University of the West of Scotland
- Glasgow Caledonian University
- Edinburgh Napier University
- Stranmillis University College
- St Mary’s University College
- University of the Highlands and Islands
- London Metropolitan University
- The University of Buckingham
- Leeds College of Art
Royal Academy of Engineering
As the UK’s national academy for engineering, we bring together the most successful and talented engineers for a shared purpose: to advance and promote excellence in engineering.

We have four strategic challenges:

**Drive faster and more balanced economic growth**
To improve the capacity of UK entrepreneurs and enterprises to create innovative products and services, increase wealth and employment and rebalance the economy in favour of productive industry.

**Foster better education and skills**
To create a system of engineering education and training that satisfies the aspirations of young people while delivering the high-calibre engineers and technicians that businesses need.

**Lead the profession**
To harness the collective expertise, energy and capacity of the engineering profession to enhance the UK’s economic and social development.

**Promote engineering at the heart of society**
To improve public understanding of engineering, increase awareness of how engineering impacts on lives and increase public recognition for our most talented engineers.